

PERSONNEL APPEALS PANEL

28 AND 29 APRIL 2008

Chairman: * Councillor Mrs Lurline Champagne

Councillors: * Graham Henson * Mrs Anjana Patel

* Denotes Member present

PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS - NIL**PART II - MINUTES**82. **Appointment of Chairman:**

RESOLVED: That Councillor Lurline Champagne be appointed Chairman of the Panel for the purposes of the meeting.

83. **Declarations of Interest:**

RESOLVED: To note that there were no declarations of interests made by Members in relation to the business transacted at this meeting.

84. **Arrangement of Agenda:**

RESOLVED: That the appeal be considered with the press and public excluded on the grounds that it would involve the disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 1 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972, in that there would be disclosure of information relating to an individual.

85. **Minutes:**

(See Note at conclusion of these minutes).

86. **Grievance Appeal:**

Following careful consideration of both the written and verbal evidence presented by the appellants and the written and verbal evidence presented by management, the Panel

RESOLVED: That (1) the appeal be upheld in part;

(2) the relevant Corporate Director be made aware of a number of concerns expressed by the Panel in relation to the case.

(Signed) COUNCILLOR MRS LURLINE CHAMPAGNIE
Chairman

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 9.30 am on 28 April 2008, and adjourned at 5.00 pm, reconvened at 9.30 am on 29 April 2008, and closed at 5.30 pm).

[Note: Personnel Appeals Panel minutes are:-

- (1) approved following each meeting by the Members serving on that particular occasion and signed as a correct record by the Chairman for that meeting;
- (2) printed into the Council Minute Volume, published monthly;
- (3) not submitted to the next panel meeting for approval.

Reasons: The Personnel Appeals Panel is constituted from a pooled membership. Consequently, a subsequent Panel meeting is likely to comprise a different Chairman and Members who took no part in the previous meeting's proceedings. The process referred to at (1) above provides appropriate approval scrutiny].